

From: [Jane](#)
To: [Aquind Interconnector](#)
Subject: Objection to Aquind interconnector
Date: 20 June 2023 00:23:18

Dear Sir/Madam,

Further to my objections of 11.3.23. and 13.12.21., regarding the planning application for the proposed Aquind interconnector from Lovedean to Eastney, Portsmouth, I would add that any further amendments made by Aquind do not mitigate the need for such cabling. They in no way consider the health and safety of the population, either during or after the works should they go ahead.

I can only repeat what I wrote in the aforementioned letters of objection. Please refer back to them for that information.

Having done further research on the amount of land needed if excavations were to be carried out in order to lay the parallel cables, at least 45 metres width of land would be needed in order to deposit the excavated material.

The roads on the proposed route are not wide enough. I mention Farlington Avenue again; homes opposite each other in this road are less than 45 metres apart from each other, as are homes in other areas along the route.

The lives of residents would be made impossible during such works. Would they be able to get in through their front doors?

It would be impossible for children who have to use this road to gain access to their schools.

Solent Infant and Junior Schools are in roads either side of Farlington Avenue. Many families have children of different ages; it is commonplace for parents to take one child to the infant school, then cross Farlington Avenue to take the other to the junior school. If the proposed work was to be carried out, the slow pace of the work would inevitably impinge on access to these schools during term times, and affect the safety and education of these children.

Then think of children in the rest of the city, living in close proximity to the proposed route, whose lives will be affected in the same way.

Portsmouth is a very cramped, congested city. It is ridiculous to think that it is a suitable place for any consideration for such works as proposed.

Portsmouth is a very long way away from the proposed landfall in France. There are many places further east along the south coast that are much closer to France than Portsmouth. This would negate the need for such a long undersea cable. There would also be much less impact on people if any cabling were to be laid on much less densely populated areas.

The French do not want these cables either.

The years of work needed should this unnecessary cabling go ahead would have huge detriment on everyday life in Portsmouth and the surrounding areas, and to:

access to schools and work,

health due to increased pollution caused by jammed up road networks as roads are closed for digging very wide trenches

the environment i.e. air, land, sea

flora and fauna,

humans,

our safety and health - EMFs from the underground cables is a known fact.

I trust that, on these grounds, the planning application for the Aquind interconnector be refused.

Yours faithfully

Mrs. Jane Carter



Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)